MR. PRESTIA: May I approach, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes. Uh-huh.

Thanks. Go ahead. You may inquire.

MR. PRESTIA: Thank you.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. PRESTIA:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

Q So that I don't have -- I don't want to say your name wrong. Can you just pronounce it for me?

A It's Kuerzi.

O Kuerzi.

A Yes.

Q Ms. Kuerzi, it's a pleasure to meet you. My name is Tom Prestia. I represent the defendants in this case. I just have a couple of -- I have a good amount of questions for you as it pertains to the documents that you discussed with plaintiff's counsel. Before we get going with that, you would agree with me that you have a financial stake in the outcome of this case?

- A I'm not sure I understand what you mean.
- Q Well, you're an employee of SPS, right?
- A Yes.
- Q And you're paid to be here, correct?
- A It is my job to testify in trials, yes.
- Q And as part of your job you're paid, correct?
- 25 A Yes.

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

- files and come in and testify in cases such as this, correct?
 - A Yes.

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

- Q Okay. And you've worked there how long?
- 5 A Seventeen years.
 - Q Now, you're not an employee of the plaintiff in this case, are you?
 - A No.
 - Q Who is the plaintiff in this case?
- 10 A Fifth -- Fifth Third Bank.
 - Q And you at no point were ever employed by Fifth Third Bank, correct?
 - A Right.
- Q And you were never employed by DLG -- let me -- I apologize, strike that.
 - You were never employed by DLJ Mortgage Capital Incorporated, correct?
 - A Correct.
 - Q So you would agree with me then -- well, let me kind of back up. I apologize. Since you are in the business of testifying in these types of cases, you would agree with me that your employer, if your employer found out that you were a poor witness, you would no longer be doing what you're doing, correct?
 - MR. NEW: Objection, speculation.

800-726-7007

1 THE COURT: Okay. Overruled.

A I have not found that to be the case. I don't know that personally.

Q All right. So if it's your testimony that you don't do a full and thorough and good job testifying in these cases, that you would still retain your job at SPS, correct?

A I would assume that would be up to my superiors.

Q Well, in general practicality, you would agree with me that if someone isn't doing a good job at their -- for their employer, they would not be there, correct?

A I can't say yes or no to that. I would not know.

Q Well, just -- I mean, on a common sensible basis, you would agree that if an employee is not doing their job thoroughly and accurately and to the standards of their employer, they would not be an employee of that company for very long, correct?

A Well, it depends if they want to give them additional training and coaching.

Q Well, let's talk about that now that you've mentioned that. You're actually given formal training and coaching to testify, right?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

Page 109

- 1 A It's -- sometimes, yes.
 - Q No, in -- at your employment within the last 17 years, you're actually coached and trained on how to testify in court just like this; isn't that true?
 - A I have had training, but I'm not trained on a daily basis.
 - Q So the answer to my question then is yes?
 - A I have had training.
 - Q As it pertains to courtroom testimony, correct?
- 11 A Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

- 12 Q Okay. And that training involves mock trials,
 13 correct?
- 14 A No.
- Q No? The training involves -- what does the training involve?
 - A It's just a one-on-one session.
- 18 O With who?
- 19 A With whoever the company sees -- it could be 20 one of the corporate attorneys.
 - Q Okay. So you actually receive training from a attorney in preparation for you going to cases and testifying; is that what your testimony is?
 - A They have been known to do that, yes.
 - Q And who was the person that trained you in

800-726-7007

1 regards to this case?

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

2.0

23

- 2 A I -- I did not have any training regarding this case.
 - Q Okay. When was your training?
- 5 A I had some training regarding court in general about a year ago.
 - Q So about a year ago you met with an attorney who trained you as it pertains to court procedures and testifying, correct?
 - A I cannot say that he was an attorney, no.
 - Q Well, I thought you just told me that you had met with a attorney and he was the person that gave you training?
 - A We do in general at times.
- Q Okay. And you particularly, you said you got one-on-one training, right?
 - A Yes.
 - Q And you advised that the individual that trained you was an attorney, did you not?
 - A No, I did not say that.
- Q Okay. Well, who was the person that trained you then?
 - A It was someone that the company had hired.
- Q Who was that person?
- 25 A I do not recollect his name.

Correct? It's a yes or no, ma'am.

Okay. Overruled.

THE COURT:

Q

24

25

- A I would say so long as I give the correct answer and it's the truth, that would be yes.
 - Q Okay. So then the answer to my question is yes?
- 5 A (No response).
- 6 O Correct?
- 7 A Yes.

3

8

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

- Q Okay. You've testified in hundreds of cases, correct?
- 10 A I would say yes.
- 11 Q Approximate -- approximately how many?
- 12 A That's kind of hard to say. I --
- 13 | O Over 500?
- A No, I would not say that. I'd say maybe between 100 and 300.
 - Q Between 100 and 300. So 100 -- between 100 and 300 times you've come into court and testified before in the -- in this same fashion, before attorneys and judges and court reporters and things of that nature, correct?
 - A Yes.
- Q Okay. So in essence you're a professional witness, right?
- 24 A No.
- 25 | Q You wouldn't agree with that assessment?

800-726-7007

		Page 113
1	1 A No, I wouldn't.	
2	Q And why not?	
3	A Because I actual	ly work on accounts. I review
4	4 accounts. I you know,	I don't do this
5	professionally, no.	
6	6 Q Well, this is yo	ur profession, right?
7	7 A No, I I do te	stify in cases, yes.
8	8 Q But approximatel	y 100 to 300, right?
9	9 A Yes.	
10	O Q Okay. Let's go	ahead and start with what
11	did I do with that? Here we go.	
12	Now, you mention	ed before that Fifth Third
13	Bank is the plaintiff in this case, right?	
14	4 A Yes.	
15	Q DLG is not DL	J Mortgage Company is not a
16	party to this action, to your knowledge?	
17	7 A They are they	are the owner.
18	8 Q So DLJ is the ow	ner of what?
19	9 A The note.	
20	Q Okay. And who i	s the holder of the note?
21	1 A That would be DI	J.
22	Q What is a holder	of a note, what does that
23	mean?	
24	4 A They actually ow	n the note.
25	5 Q Okay. But you s	aid that they were the owner

800-726-7007 305-376-8800